

# Some Binary Quantum Codes with Good Burst-Error-Correcting Capabilities

Kin-ichiroh Tokiwa, Kazutaka Kiyama and Takahiro Yamasaki

## Abstract

Quantum error-correcting codes have been developed as one of the promising tools for protecting quantum information against quantum errors. A great deal of effort has been made mainly to construct efficient quantum random-error-correcting codes. In this article, we investigate a class of quantum codes capable of correcting quantum burst errors, and present a list of some new good quantum burst-error-correcting codes of length less than or equal to 51.

keywords: quantum error-correcting codes, CSS codes, burst error correction.

## 1 Introduction

The first quantum error-correcting code was discovered by Shor [1]. Since then, the theory of quantum error-correcting codes has progressed rapidly, and various code constructions have been proposed on the assumption that quantum errors occur independently. One of the most important families of quantum error-correcting codes has been provided by Steane [2] and Calderbank and Shor [3]. These codes are commonly referred to as Calderbank-Shor-Steane (CSS) codes. On the other hand, Vatan, Roychowdhury, and Anantram [4] have explored the design of quantum error-correcting codes for the case when quantum errors occur predominantly in bursts. However, few quantum burst-error-correcting codes have been known so far.

The main purpose of our work is to find out many good quantum codes capable of correcting quantum burst errors. In this article, we consider the subject from the following points of view:

- focusing our attention on a class of CSS type nondegenerate binary quantum error-correcting codes,
- utilizing an algorithm [5] which can efficiently search a basis of any CSS type quantum error-correcting code, and
- specifying good quantum burst-error-correcting codes in terms of computer search.

As a result, we present a list of some new good quantum burst-error-correcting codes of length up to 51.

---

\* Received November, 1, 2004.

Department of Electrical Engineering and Electronics, Osaka Sangyo University

## 2 CSS Type Quantum Codes

For  $n > k$ , an  $[[n, k]]$  binary quantum error-correcting code can be regarded as a mapping of  $k$  qubits (i.e., a Hilbert space of dimension  $2^k$ ) into  $n$  qubits (i.e., a Hilbert space of dimension  $2^n$ ). Therefore, this code can be uniquely specified with  $2^k$  orthonormal basis  $|\mathbf{v}_1\rangle, |\mathbf{v}_2\rangle, \dots, |\mathbf{v}_{2^k}\rangle$ , where  $\mathbf{v}_i$  are binary vectors of length  $n$  and  $|\mathbf{v}_i\rangle$  denote quantum states of  $n$  qubits. Note here that, to simplify notation, the normalization factors are deleted throughout this article. In general, the kind of quantum errors to be considered are bit-flip errors, phase errors, and their combinations. Thus the CSS code construction [1]–[4] for burst-error correction is summarized as follows:

For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $\mathcal{C}_i$  be an  $[n, k_i]$  binary classical linear code capable of correcting all burst errors of length  $b_i$  or less. Suppose that the dual of  $\mathcal{C}_2$  is a subcode of  $\mathcal{C}_1$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{C}_2^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{C}_1$ ) and  $k_1 + k_2 > n$ . Then we have an  $[[n, k_1 + k_2 - n]]$  CSS type quantum code  $\mathcal{Q}$  which can correct all quantum burst errors of length  $b = \min(b_1, b_2)$  or less. Basis vectors of  $\mathcal{Q}$  can be represented as

$$|\mathbf{v}_i\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_2^\perp} |\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{a}_i\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{a}_i$  are chosen from cosets of  $\mathcal{C}_2^\perp$  in  $\mathcal{C}_1$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{a}_i \in \mathcal{C}_1/\mathcal{C}_2^\perp$ .

According to the well-known Reiger bound [6], it is easily verified that an upper bound on the quantum burst-error-correcting capability  $b$  holds:

$$b \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n - \max(k_1, k_2)}{2} \right\rfloor. \quad (2)$$

Hereinafter, quantum codes that meet the bound (2) with equality will be called *good*. It should be noted that there may exist more powerful codes among the other kind of quantum codes (e.g., degenerate quantum codes). In this article, however, only CSS type nondegenerate binary quantum codes will be considered. This is because such codes can be handled easily. Moreover, note that in this article we exclude a burst error defined with cyclic boundary conditions, that is to say, an *end-around burst error* [6].

## 3 Search Algorithm

As mentioned above, if any suitable classical linear codes  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  could be obtained, then a CSS type quantum burst-error-correcting code  $\mathcal{Q}$  can be constructed. However, it is not easy to find such codes  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  constructively. Hence we take a way to search for an appropriate code  $\mathcal{C}_1$  for a given code  $\mathcal{C}_2$  (equivalently,  $\mathcal{C}_2^\perp$ ). The outline of our search procedure is summarized as follows:

**Step 1:** Choose an  $[n, k_2]$  classical cyclic code  $\mathcal{C}_2$  and its  $[n, n - k_2]$  dual code  $\mathcal{C}_2^\perp$ , and determine their burst-error-correcting capabilities  $b_2$  and  $b_2^\perp$ , respectively.

**Step 2:** Search for an  $[n, k_1]$  classical linear code  $\mathcal{C}_1$  with burst-error-correcting capability  $b_1$  which includes  $\mathcal{C}_2^\perp$  as a subcode, where  $b_1 = \min(b_2, b_2^\perp)$ .

**Step 3:** Set  $b = \min(b_1, b_2)$ . If the value of  $b$  meets the bound (2) with equality, then an  $[[n, k_1 + k_2 - n]]$  good quantum burst-error-correcting code  $\mathcal{Q}$  is obtained. Otherwise, return to **Step 1** and change the initial codes.

In **Step 1**, we investigate burst-error-correcting capabilities of  $\mathcal{C}_2$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2^\perp$  by checking whether all syndromes of the possible burst error patterns are distinct. Clearly, the computational complexity of such method grows exponentially as burst length to be considered becomes longer. Thus it would be necessary to adopt more efficient schemes (e.g., [7]) in order to search more powerful codes.

In **Step 2**, we take advantage of a slightly modified version of the search algorithm proposed in [5]. In the following, it will be called *T-algorithm*, and will be described briefly. See [5] for more details.

Let us provide some definitions and notations. For a vector  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_L)$  of any length  $L$  and for any integer  $\ell$  ( $1 \leq \ell \leq L$ ), two kinds of functions  $\Phi_\ell(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\Psi_\ell(\mathbf{x})$  are defined as

$$\Phi_\ell(\mathbf{x}) = x_\ell \quad (3)$$

and

$$\Psi_\ell(\mathbf{x}) = (x_1, \dots, x_{\ell-1}, x_{\ell+1}, \dots, x_L). \quad (4)$$

The former is a function that takes out the  $\ell$ -th component of  $\mathbf{x}$ , and the latter is a function that deletes the  $\ell$ -th component from  $\mathbf{x}$ . Moreover, let  $(\mathbf{0}^k; \mathbf{x})$  denote a concatenation of a string of  $k$  zeros and a vector  $\mathbf{x}$ .

**T-algorithm:** We consider an  $[n, k_2]$  classical cyclic code  $\mathcal{C}_2$  and its  $[n, n - k_2]$  dual code  $\mathcal{C}_2^\perp$  whose burst-error-correcting capabilities are  $b_2$  and  $b_2^\perp$ , respectively. Also, we assume a parity-check matrix  $H$  of  $\mathcal{C}_2^\perp$  is given in reduced-echelon canonical form [8]. In other words, suppose that the leftmost part of  $H$  is a  $k_2 \times k_2$  identity matrix. Then the following steps are executed:

**step i :** Obtain a set of sums of syndromes in  $\mathcal{C}_2^\perp$  as

$$\Sigma^{(1)} = \{(\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{e}')H^T \mid \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}' \in \mathcal{E}\},$$

where  $\mathcal{E}$  is a set of all patterns of possible burst errors of length  $b_1 (= \min(b_2, b_2^\perp))$  or less.

**step ii :** Initialize a column permutation matrix  $\rho_1$  as an  $n \times n$  identity matrix.

**step iii :** Set  $\kappa_1 = n - k_2$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_1 = \mathbf{0}^n$ , and  $j = 1$ .

**step iv :** If a set

$$F_2^{n-\kappa_j} \setminus \Sigma^{(j)} = \{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in F_2^{n-\kappa_j} \wedge \mathbf{x} \notin \Sigma^{(j)}\}$$

is empty, then go to **step vi**. Otherwise, select any vector  $\mathbf{x}_j \in F_2^{n-\kappa_j} \setminus \Sigma^{(j)}$ , and make up an auxiliary vector

$$\mathbf{u}_j = (\mathbf{0}^{\kappa_j}; \mathbf{x}_j)\rho_j^{-1}$$

and  $2^{j-1}$  vectors

$$\mathbf{a}_{\ell+2^{j-1}} = \mathbf{a}_\ell + \mathbf{u}_j$$

for  $1 \leq \ell \leq 2^{j-1}$ .

**step v :** Using a value  $\nu_j$  such that

$$\Phi_\ell(\mathbf{x}_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq \ell < \nu_j, \\ 1 & \text{for } \ell = \nu_j \end{cases},$$

update a set of sums of syndromes  $\Sigma^{(j+1)}$  and a column permutation matrix  $\rho_{j+1}$  as

$$\Sigma^{(j+1)} = \left\{ \Psi_{\nu_j}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) + \Phi_{\nu_j}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})\Psi_{\nu_j}(\mathbf{x}_j) \mid \boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \Sigma^{(j)} \right\}$$

and

$$\rho_{j+1} = \rho_j \pi_j,$$

where  $\pi_j$  is an  $n \times n$  column permutation matrix by which the  $(\kappa_j + \nu_j)$ -th column is moved just before the  $(\kappa_j + 1)$ -st column. Then set  $\kappa_{j+1} = \kappa_j + 1$  and  $j = j + 1$ , and go to **step iv**.

**step vi :** Set  $k_1 = \kappa_j$  as the dimension of the desired code  $\mathcal{C}_1$ , and output auxiliary vectors  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{j-1}$  and coset leaders  $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{2^{j-1}}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_1/\mathcal{C}_2^\perp$ .

In **Step 3**, in order to find out good codes, we require that the value of  $b = \min(b_1, b_2)$  meets the bound (2) with equality. Clearly, however, this restriction can be relaxed. In any case, we are able to obtain an  $[[n, k_1 + k_2 - n]]$  quantum code  $\mathcal{Q}$  which can correct quantum burst errors of length  $b$  or less and whose basis vectors are represented as (1) by using vectors  $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{2^{j-1}}$  given in **step vi**.

## 4 Results

In our computer search, the initial codes  $\mathcal{C}_2^\perp$  have been chosen from almost all of binary classical cyclic codes of length up to 64 whose generator polynomials have degree 35 or less. Some good quantum burst-error-correcting codes obtained by computer search are listed in Table 1, in which the following notations are used:

▷ in relation to quantum code  $\mathcal{Q}$

$n$  := code length

$k$  := dimension

$b$  := maximum length of correctable burst errors

▷ in relation to classical codes  $\mathcal{C}_2$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2^\perp$

$b_2, b_2^\perp$  := maximum length of correctable burst errors

$g(x)$  := generator polynomial of  $\mathcal{C}_2^\perp$

▷ in relation to classical code  $\mathcal{C}_1$

$b_1$  := maximum length of correctable burst errors

$\mathbf{u}_j$  := auxiliary vectors for constructing  $\mathcal{C}_1$

It should be noted that the generator polynomial  $g(x)$  and the auxiliary vector  $\mathbf{u}_j$  are both given in an octal representation. When the octal representation of  $g(x)$  is expanded in binary, the binary digits are the coefficients of the polynomial, with the high-order coefficients at the left. In addition, when the octal representation of  $\mathbf{u}_j$  is expanded in binary, the binary digits are assigned to the rightmost components of the vector of length  $n$ . On the other hand, it is easily verified that a generator polynomial of  $\mathcal{C}_2$  can be derived from  $g(x)$  as a reciprocal polynomial of  $(x^n + 1)/g(x)$ .

It should be also noted that the code  $\mathcal{C}_1$  is not cyclic but linear. Thus the code can be uniquely represented in terms of its generator matrix. Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2^\perp$  denote generator matrices of the codes  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2^\perp$ , respectively. Then the following relationship between these matrices holds:

$$G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \text{-----} G_2^\perp \text{-----} \\ \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_k \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

In Table 1, the symbol “a” means a good code which meets the bound (2) with equality, and the symbol “b” shows a code whose burst-error-correcting capability is only one smaller than the maximum value ensured by the bound (2). In addition, codes assigned the symbol “c” in Table 1 are worthy of attention. Calderbank, Rains, Shor, and Sloane [9] gave a useful table on the highest achievable minimum distance in any quantum random-error-correcting code of length up to 30, and Grassl [10] extended the table to codes of length up to 36. From these tables, it is possible to evaluate the limits of quantum random-error-correcting codes. It is clear that we can successfully correct quantum burst errors of length up to such evaluated limits by using those quantum random-error-correcting codes. However, quantum codes designated in Table 1 as the symbol “c” allow us to correct longer burst errors than any best quantum random-error-correcting code. Finally we also emphasize that the seven codes with the symbol “d” have the same correcting capabilities even if the end-around burst errors are included.

Table 1 Parameters of Good Binary Quantum Burst-Error-Correcting Codes  
(Length up to 51)

$\mathcal{Q}$			$\mathcal{C}_2$	$\mathcal{C}_2^\perp$		$\mathcal{C}_1$				
$n$	$k$	$b$	$b_2$	$b_2^\perp$	$g(x)$	$b_1$	$\mathbf{u}_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, k)$			
15	2	3	3	4	1163	3	257	433		a c
15	4	2	2	5	3545	2	25 407	52	211	a c d
21	3	4	4	6	13123	4	1467	2531	4605	a c d
21	6	3	3	7	61671	3	111 2061	222 4036	444 11062	a c
23	1	5	5	5	12237	5	6165			a c d
24	2	4	4	4	12105	4	467	5316		b c d
28	4	5	5	7	170377	5	2661 55420	10513	20570	a c
28	6	4	4	6	270547	4	421 4233	1042 20176	2104 50254	b c
30	5	6	6	8	1012405	6	23221 376727	43762 777771	155304	a c
30	6	5	5	8	1533407	5	2041 20456	4102 41273	10204 401403	b c
30	9	4	4	10	4202425	4	421 4210 100114	1042 20023 200205	2104 40041 410256	b c

Table 1 (Continued)

$\mathcal{Q}$			$\mathcal{C}_2$	$\mathcal{C}_2^\perp$		$\mathcal{C}_1$				
$n$	$k$	$b$	$b_2$	$b_2^\perp$	$g(x)$	$b_1$	$\mathbf{u}_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, k)$			
31	1	7	7	8	200427	7	177415			a c d
31	3	6	7	6	312017	6	12565	63307	104233	a c
31	8	5	5	10	5203467	5	2041 20410 400252	4102 41020 2101764	10204 200117	a c
31	11	4	4	10	11223653	4	421 4210 100114 1000141	1042 20023 200224 6010332	2104 40041 410004	b c
33	8	5	5	9	7142147	5	2041 20410 400053	4102 41021 1000405	10204 200101	b c
34	4	6	6	6	1012501	6	10313 502036	20115	41454	b c
35	4	7	7	8	6215517	7	40603 407046	100221	201357	a c
35	7	6	6	10	23766233	6	10101 101010 2000117	20202 202020	40404 404047	a c
35	11	5	5	11	55326013	5	2041 20453 400103 4100264	4102 41121 1000217 10101722	10204 200051 2000424	a c
35	14	4	4	10	244303045	4	421 4210 100114 1000045 20010004	1042 20023 200204 2000106 40000235	2104 40041 410001 10000174	b c
39	11	6	6	13	663364621	6	10101 101010 2000103 20001021	20202 202020 4000205 40002260	40404 404040 10000411	a
45	2	10	10	11	107767117	10	4023003	40124201		a
45	6	9	9	12	777070007	9	1003005 10021243	2005017 20310350	4011033 40740522	a
47	1	11	11	11	106331123	11	75667061			a d
51	1	12	12	12	246527647	12	142315235			a d

## 5 Conclusion

We have investigated a class of CSS type nondegenerate binary quantum codes capable of correcting quantum burst errors. As a result, we have given a list of some new good quantum burst-error-correcting codes of length up to 51 in terms of computer search. These codes are very efficient and attractive for correcting quantum burst errors excluding end-around burst errors.

## Acknowledgment

This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C)(2) (No.14550387) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

## References

- [1] P. W. Shor, "Scheme for reducing decoherence in quantum computer memory," *Phys. Rev. A*, vol.52, pp.R2493–R2496, Oct. 1995.
- [2] A. M. Steane, "Error correcting codes in quantum theory," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol.77, pp.793–797, July 1996.
- [3] A. R. Calderbank and P. W. Shor, "Good quantum error-correcting codes exist," *Phys. Rev. A*, vol.54, pp.1098–1105, Aug. 1996.
- [4] F. Vatan, V. P. Roychowdhury, and M. P. Anantram, "Spatially correlated qubit errors and burst-correcting quantum codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol.45, pp.1703–1708, July 1999.
- [5] K. Tokiwa and H. Tanaka, "A search algorithm for bases of Calderbank-Shor-Steane type quantum error-correcting codes," *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals*, vol.E84-A, pp.860–865, March 2001.
- [6] S. Lin and D. J. Costello, Jr., *Error Control Coding: Fundamentals and Applications*, Prentice Hall, 1983.
- [7] K. Tokiwa, M. Kasahara, and T. Namekawa, "Burst-error-correction capability of cyclic codes," *Trans. of IECE A*, vol.J66-A, pp.993–999, Oct. 1983. (In Japanese)
- [8] W. W. Peterson and E. J. Weldon, Jr., *Error-Correcting Codes*, 2nd ed., The MIT Press, 1972.
- [9] A. R. Calderbank, E. M. Rains, P. W. Shor, and N. J. A. Sloane, "Quantum error correction via codes over  $GF(4)$ ," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol.44, pp.1369–1387, July 1998.
- [10] M. Grassl, "Bounds on  $d_{\min}$  for additive  $[[n, k, d]]$  QECC (extended version of Table III of the above paper [9])," [Online]. Available: <http://iaks-www.ira.uka.de/home/grassl/QECC/TableIII.html>.